

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING D.		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/708,658	09/708,658 11/09/2000		Nicholas Sheppard Bromer	3157		
7	7590	11/21/2003		EXAMINER		
Nicholas Bro			DRUAN, THOMAS J			
402 Stackstown Road Marietta, PA 17547				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3724	7.1	
				DATE MAILED: 11/21/2003	\mathcal{A}	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				-	$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{K}}$
4		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/708	,658	BROMER, NICH	OLAS SHEPPARD
	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit	T
_			s J. Druan, Jr.	3724	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on t	he cover sheet wit	h the correspondence a	ddress
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. 10) days, a reply within the satutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a re statutory minimum of thirty d will expire SIX (6) MONT application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered time HS from the mailing date of this NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 23 August 20:	03		
		2b) ☐ This action is			
<i>'</i> —	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practi	for allowance exce	pt for formal matte		ne merits is
Dispositi	ion of Claims		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	.,, 100 0.0.2.0.	
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from o			
	ion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	: a) ☐ accepted or	b) objected to b	y the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any obje				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	•	• ,	•	• •
-	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	Note the attached	Office Action or form P	'TO-152.
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
* \$ 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office action acknowledgment is made of a claim from the ince a specific reference was included a compart of the foreign lare acknowledgment is made of a claim from the foreign lare acknowledgment is made of a claim from the first sent acknowledgment i	documents have be documents have be of the priority documents and Bureau (PCT Report of the cestor domestic priority and in the first sentent anguage provisional for domestic priority of domestic priority	een received. een received in Apments have been it tule 17.2(a)). ertified copies not re under 35 U.S.C. { ice of the specifical application has be under 35 U.S.C. {	oplication No received in this National received. § 119(e) (to a provisional tion or in an Application een received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	al application) n Data Sheet. e a specific
Attachmen	nt(s)				
1) Notice 2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P			ummary (PTO-413) Paper No formal Patent Application (PT	

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to Applicant's amendment received on 23
 August 2003.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipatred by US 4,653,373 to Gerber.

Gerber discloses the invention as claimed including a blade 10 comprising a thin plate 32 deposited on a specular surface of a substrate 30, wherein the substrate is beveled toward a cutting edge 40 that comprises the plate. The plate is on a single side of the blade, and is harder than the substrate (column 3, lines 16-20). The substrate is made specular by use of a grinding wheel 43 (grinding creates a roughness as small as 500 Angstroms according to the included Roughness Height table). The plate has a thickness of 100 µin, which is on the order of a micron.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gerber in view of 5,077,901 to Warner et al.

Gerber discloses the invention substantially as claimed, but uses a titanium nitride plate instead of a ceramic plate. Warner et al. teaches the use of

Art Unit: 3724

a ceramic plate 70 deposited on a metal substrate 80 in order to provide a cutting edge that provides a hard, non-porous cutting edge (column 1, line 46 – column 2, line 9). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to make the plate of Gerber out of ceramic in order to provide a hard, non-porous cutting edge.

4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gerber in view of US 1,607,083 to Ignatieff.

Gerber discloses the invention substantially as claimed, but lacks a substrate comprising a base portion of a first material and a surface portion of a second material that is harder than the first material and less hard than the plate material. Ignatieff teaches the use of multiple layers 5-11 of increasing hardness from the base portion to the cutting edge in order to correspond to the stress and wear of respective layers. Therefore, it would have been obvious to include multiple layers of hardness in the blade of Gerber in order to provide a gradient of appropriate materials to compensate for the increasing stress and wear of the blades layers as they approach the cutting edge.

5. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gerber in view of Ignatieff in further view of US 5,630,275 to Wexler.

Gerber in view of Ignatieff discloses the invention substantially as claimed, but lacks a second layer made of either chromium or glass. Wexler teaches the use of multiple layers of a blade comprising a variety of different materials, including

Art Unit: 3724

chromium or glass (silicon dioxide) combined with other materials of differing hardnesses in order to provide enhanced durability (column 4, lines 32-67).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ikegaya et al. is cited to show an example of a cutting tool made by deposition onto a substrate with a mirror-finish.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will

Art Unit: 3724

the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas J. Druan, Jr. whose telephone number is 703-308-4200. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-6:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

November 17, 2003

PRIMARY EXAMINER